

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE TWENTY FIRST DAY OF APRIL
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE K.C. BHANU

WRIT PETITION NO : 8915 of 2005

Between:

M.Kondaiah, S/o. Balaiah,
Shadnagar, Mahaboobnagar District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Shadnagar,
Mahaboobnagar District.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ, order or directions, more in the nature of Writ of Mandamus, declaring the office order dt.16.12.1993 passed by the respondent herein as illegal and arbitrary and contrary to APSRTC CC&A Regulations and set aside the same with all consequential benefits including arrears.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.P.GOVINDA RAJULU

Counsel for the Respondent: MR.P.VINAYAKA SWAMY

The Court at the admission stage made the following O R D E R:

The petitioner was appointed as Conductor in the respondent-Corporation. While so, the petitioner was issued a charge sheet dated 17.08.1993 alleging certain cash and ticket irregularities. He submitted his explanation. The respondent, without conducting any enquiry, passed the final order on 16.12.1993 imposing the penalty of deferment of annual increment for a period of one year, which shall have effect on future increments of the petitioner. Hence this writ petition.

The petitioner contends that imposing the punishment of postponement of increments with cumulative effect is a major penalty and the same cannot be imposed without conducting any enquiry.

It is well settled that denial of increments with cumulative effect tantamount to a major penalty, and such penalty cannot be imposed by the disciplinary authority without holding any enquiry.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of modifying the punishment imposed on the petitioner to that of deferment of annual increments for a period of one year, which shall not have the effect of postponing the future increments. By virtue of this order, petitioner is not entitled for any monetary benefits from the date of punishment till this date. But, however, he is entitled for increments on notional calculation. No order as to costs.

(K.C.BHANU,J)

Dt:19th April, 2005

Usd

To

- 1 The Depot Manager, APSRTC, Shadnagar,
Mahaboobnagar District.
- 2 Two CD copies.